



Cri du Chat Syndrome

First description and alternative names

First described by Lejeune in 1963, Cri du Chat Syndrome (CdCS), which takes its name from the 'cat-like cry', is often referred to as Deletion 5p- syndrome and chromosome five short arm deletion.

Incidence/prevalence

The prevalence of CdCS has been estimated at 1 in 50,000 live births and although the exact gender ratio is unknown, the syndrome is thought to be approximately twice as prevalent in females as in males (Niebuhr, 1978; Van Buggenhout et al., 2000; Dykens et al, 2000).

Genetics and Molecular Biology

CdCS is predominantly caused by a partial deletion on the tip of the short-arm of chromosome 5 (with a critical region of 5p15). The size of the deletion ranges from the entire short arm to the region 5p15 (Overhauser et al., 1994). A de novo deletion is present in 85% of cases; 10 to 15% are familial with more than 90% due to a parental translocation and 5% due to an inversion of 5p (Van Buggenhout et al., 2000). Niebuhr first identified the specific chromosomal region implicated in the syndrome as 5p15.1-5p15.3, using cytogenetic analysis (Niebuhr, 1978). More recent work has mapped specific critical areas within this region as being responsible for the expression of the core clinical features of the syndrome. For example, the characteristic high pitched 'cat-like' cry from which the syndrome derives its name has been mapped to the proximal part of 5p15.3, the speech delay to the distal part of 5p15.3 and severe intellectual impairment to 5p15.2 (Overhauser et al., 1994). This distinctive cry is considered the most prominent clinical diagnostic feature of the syndrome (Mainardi et al. 2006). Though relatively rare, CdCS represents the most common deletion syndrome in humans (Cornish et al, 2001).

Physical features and natural history

The distinctive cat-cry is a core feature of the syndrome and is still regarded as an important early clinical diagnostic feature in most but not all individuals (Mainardi et al.2006). The cry is thought to be caused by anomalies of the larynx (small, narrow and diamond shaped) and of the epiglottis that is usually small and hypotonic (Niebuhr, 1978). Many infants tend to be of low birth weight and low weight usually persists in the first two years of life for both sexes (Marinescu et al., 2000). Feeding difficulties are common and the associated failure to thrive may be the initial clinical presentation. Some infants may require tube feeding, a process which may have to continue for several years. Gastroesophageal reflux is common in CdCS during the first years of life. Other health problems include respiratory tract infections, otitis media and dental problems. Many individuals with CdCS are prone to developing a curvature of the spine (congenital scoliosis) and this can become more apparent with advancing age. Some of the most frequently cited physically defining features of CdCS are facial characteristics including microcephaly, rounded face, widely spaced eyes, downward slanting of palpebral fissures, low set ears, broad nasal ridge and short neck (Dykens et al., 2000; Marinescu et al., 1999).

Studies indicate that facial features change over time, specifically, lengthening of the face and coarsening of features (Mainardi et al. 2006).

Behavioural characteristics

Sleep difficulties are a significant problem in this group, occurring in between 30 to 50% of individuals (Maas et al., 2009). Repetitive behaviours are generally less common in CdCS than in other genetic syndromes. However, Moss et al. (2009) report that an attachment to specific objects is a marked characteristic of the syndrome. Occurring at a clinically significant level in over 67% of individuals, the frequency of this behaviour is significantly higher in CdCS than in other genetic syndromes including and in comparison to individuals with intellectual disability of heterogeneous cause. This behaviour differs from that commonly seen in autism spectrum disorder as it is typically focussed on one specific item (as opposed to a class of items) and the item may change over time. Additionally, the behaviour tends to become less marked with age.

Self-injurious and aggressive behaviours are a common behavioural feature of CdCS (Collins & Cornish, 2002; Cornish & Munir, 1998; Cornish & Pigram, 1996; Dykens & Clarke, 1997). Self-injury is reported to occur in between 70% and 92% of individuals (Arron et al., 2011; Collins & Cornish, 2002; Cornish & Pigram, 1996; Dykens & Clarke, 1997). The most common forms of SIB are reported to be head banging, hitting the head against body parts, self-biting, rubbing or scratching self (Arron et al., 2011; Collins & Cornish, 2002). Aggressive behaviour has been reported in between 52% and 88% of individuals with CdCS (Arron et al., 2010; Cornish & Pigram, 1996; Collins & Cornish, 2002) with an odds ratio of 2.7 compared to a matched contrast group (Arron et al., 2011). The most common topographies are reported to be hitting, pulling hair, biting and pinching (Collins & Cornish, 2002).

Hyperactivity is a commonly reported feature of CdCS. Findings vary from reports of no increase in level of activity (Baird et al, 2001) to 90% prevalence rates of hyperactivity (Cornish et al, 1998) with clinical hyperactivity (ADHD) reported to be more prevalent in CdCS than in an intellectual disability comparison group (Cornish & Bramble, 2002). The available literature documents the contrast between high prevalence of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and the commonly identified immobility and severe motor delay (Cornish & Bramble, 2002). The reported high prevalence of ADHD like phenomena has raised concerns regarding both the restrictions this may place on cognitive and emotional development (Cornish et al., 1998) and its role in determining familial stress (Cornish & Bramble, 2002). This highlights a need to clarify prevalence as recommendations are often made for a relatively low threshold for medication in treating hyperactivity in these individuals (Dykens & Clarke, 1997) even though there is some evidence identifying intensive behaviour modification as the most effective intervention (Wilkins et al., 1983).

ASD characteristics are not considered to be strongly associated with the CdCS (Moss et al., 2008) and have been reported to be less severe relative to a matched control group (Claro et al., 2011). In fact, several studies report social interaction skills as being a relative strength of individuals with CdCS (Carlin, 1990; Cornish & Pigram, 1996). Specifically, Moss et al., (2013) report that communication skills used to solicit social interaction (indicative of social motivation) occurred significantly more frequently in individuals with CdCS relative to matched contrast groups of individuals with Cornelia de Lange and Angelman syndromes during structured social observations.

Neuropsychological characteristics

Early reports on CdCS suggested that profound intellectual disability was a common feature of the syndrome (Niebuhr, 1978). More recent, albeit limited, research data indicate that there is a wider range of cognitive ability (Cornish, 1996; Cornish et al, 1999). Progression in motor

development is delayed and adaptive behaviour within the domains of socialisation, communication, daily living skills and motor skills does not appear to show any significant strengths or weakness, although no contrast groups have been employed in research studies (Cornish et al, 1998). Marinescu et al. (1999) found no association between the size of the genetic deletion on 5p and scores on the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales. However, individuals with translocations have been found to have a more severe developmental delay, heightened social withdrawal and more autistic-like features than those with deletions (Dykens & Clarke, 1997; Mainardi et al. 2006; Sarimski, 2003).

Useful websites/associations/resources for more information

www.criduchat.org.uk/

Oliver, C., Moss, J., Petty, J., Tunncliffe, P., Hastings, R., Howlin, P., Griffith, G., Bull, L., Villa, D. and Yip, M. (2009). *Understanding and Changing Challenging Behaviour in Cri du*

Chat Syndrome. Aerocomm Ltd: Essex -Available from the CdLS Foundation UK and Ireland.

Cornish K., Oliver C., Standen P., Bramble D. and Collins M. (2003) *Cri-Du-Chat*

Syndrome: Handbook for Parents and Professionals, 2nd ed. CdCS Support Group, Earl Shilton.

References

1. Arron, K., Oliver, C., Berg, K., Moss, J. and Burbidge, C. (2011). Delineation of behavioural phenotypes in genetic syndromes. Prevalence, phenomenology and correlates of self-injurious and aggressive behaviour. *J Intell Disabil Res*, 55, 109-120.
2. Baird S.M., Campbell D., Ingram R. & Gomez C. (2001) Young children with Cri du Chat: genetic, developmental and behavioral profiles. *Infant-Toddler Intervention: The Transdisciplinary Journal* 11, 1-14.
3. Claro, A., Cornish, K. & Gruber, R. (2011). Association between fatigue and autistic symptoms in children with cri du chat syndrome. *Am J Intel Dev Disabil*, 116, 278-289.
4. Collins M.S. & Cornish K. (2002) A survey of the prevalence of stereotypy, self-injury and aggression in children and young adults with Cri du Chat syndrome. *J Intell Disabil Res* 46, 133- 140.
5. Cornish K.M. (1996) The neuropsychological profile of Cri-du-Chat syndrome without significant learning disability. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 38, 941-944.
6. Cornish K., Bramble D. & Standen P. (2001) Cri du Chat syndrome: toward a behavioral phenotype. *Ment Health Aspects Dev Disabil* 4, 156-160.
7. Cornish K. & Munir F. (1998) Receptive and expressive language skills in children with Cri-du Chat syndrome. *J Commun Disord* 31, 73-81.
8. Cornish K. & Pilgram J. (1996) Developmental and behavioural characteristics of Cri du Chat syndrome. *Arch Dis Child* 75, 448-450.
9. Dykens E.M. & Clarke D.J. (1997) Correlates of maladaptive behavior in individuals with 5p- (Cri du Chat) syndrome. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 39, 752-756.
10. Dykens E.M., Hodapp, R.M., & Finucane, B.M. (2000) *Genetics and Mental Retardation Syndromes*. Baltimore, MD: Paul H Brookes Publishing Co.
11. Lejeune J., Lafourcade J., Berger R., Vialatte J., Boeswillwald M., Seringe P. & Turpin R. (1963) Trois cas de deletion partielle du bras court d'un chromosome 5. *Acad Sci* 257, 3098-3102.
12. Maas A.P.H.M., Didden R. Korzilius H., Braam W., Smits M.G. & Curfs L.M.G. (2009) Sleep in individuals with CdCS: a comparative study. *J Intell Disabil Res* 53, 704-715.
13. Mainardi P.C., Pastore G., Castronovo C., Godi M., Guala A., Tamiazzo S., Provera S., Pierluigi M. & Bricarelli F.D. (2006) The natural history of CdCS: a report from the Italian

- register. *Eur J Med Genet* 49, 363-383.
14. Manning K.P. (1977) Larynx in Cri du Chat syndrome. *J Laryngol Otol* 91, 887-892.
 15. Marinescu C., Johnson E., Dykens E., Hodapp R. & Overhauser J. (1999) No relationship between the size of the deletion and the level of developmental delay in Cri-du-Chat syndrome. *Am J Med Gen* 86, 66-70.
 16. Moss, J., Howlin, P., Hastings, R., Beaumont, S., Griffith, G., Petty, J., Tunncliffe, P., Yates, R., Villa, D. and Oliver, C. (2013). Social behavior and characteristics of Autism Spectrum Disorder in Angelman, Cornelia de Lange and Cri du Chat syndromes. *Am J of Intell and Dev Disabil.* 118, 262-283
 17. Moss, J., Oliver, C., Berg, K., Kaur, G., Jephcott, L. and Cornish, K. (2008). Prevalence of autism spectrum phenomenology in Cornelia de Lange and Cri du Chat syndromes. *Am J Men Ret,* 113, 278-291.
 18. Moss J., Oliver C., Arron K., Burbidge C. & Berg K. (2009) The prevalence and phenomenology of repetitive behaviour in genetic syndromes. *J Aut Dev Dis* 39, 572-588.
 19. Neibuhr E. (1978) The Cri-du-Chat syndrome. *Hum Genet* 42, 143-156.
 20. Overhauser J., Huang X., Gersch M., Wilson W., McMahon J., Bengtsson U., Rojas K., Meyer M., & Wasmuth J.J. (1994) Molecular and phenotypic mapping of the short arm of chromosome 5: Sublocalisation of the critical region of the Cri du Chat syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 3, 247-252.
 21. Van Buggenhout G.J.C.M., Pijkels E., Holyoet M., Schaap C., Hamel B.C.J. and Fryns J.P. (2000) Cri du Chat syndrome: changing phenotype in older patients. *Am J Med Gen* 90A, 203- 215.
 22. Wilkins L. E., Brown J. A., and Wolf B. (1980) Psychomotor development in 65 home-reared children with Cri du Chat syndrome. *J Pediatr* 97, 401-405.

P Tunncliffe, J Moss, & C Oliver, July 2015.

Copyright © 2015 P. Tunncliffe, J. Moss & C. Oliver

The SSBP hopes that readers will find the syndrome information sheets useful. They represent the views of the authors who kindly prepared them, and not necessarily those of the SSBP.

